

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ORACLE AMERICA, INC.,

No. C 10-03561 WHA

Plaintiff,

v.

**NOTICE REGARDING  
ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS  
FOR JULY 21 HEARING**

GOOGLE INC.,

Defendant.

In reading the *Daubert* briefing, it appears possible that early on Google recognized that it would infringe patents protecting at least part of Java, entered into negotiations with Sun to obtain a license for use in Android, then abandoned the negotiations as too expensive, and pushed home with Android without any license at all. How accurate is this scenario? Does Google acknowledge that Android infringes at least some of the claims if valid? If so, how should this affect the damages analysis? How should this affect the questions of willfulness and equitable relief? Counsel should be prepared to address these issues at the hearing.

Dated: July 12, 2011.

  
WILLIAM ALSUP  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE